

THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR., SBN 132099
 tboutrous@gibsondunn.com
 RICHARD J. DOREN, SBN 124666
 rdoren@gibsondunn.com
 DANIEL G. SWANSON, SBN 116556
 dswanson@gibsondunn.com
 JAY P. SRINIVASAN, SBN 181471
 jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com
 JASON C. LO, SBN 219030
 jlo@gibsondunn.com
 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 333 South Grand Avenue
 Los Angeles, CA 90071
 Telephone: 213.229.7000
 Facsimile: 213.229.7520
 VERONICA S. MOYÉ (Texas Bar No.
 24000092; *pro hac vice*)
 vlewis@gibsondunn.com
 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 2100 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1100
 Dallas, TX 75201
 Telephone: 214.698.3100
 Facsimile: 214.571.2900

MARK A. PERRY, SBN 212532
 mperry@gibsondunn.com
 CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No.
 492089; *pro hac vice*)
 crichman@gibsondunn.com
 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
 Washington, DC 20036
 Telephone: 202.955.8500
 Facsimile: 202.467.0539
 ETHAN DETTMER, SBN 196046
 edettmer@gibsondunn.com
 ELI M. LAZARUS, SBN 284082
 elazarus@gibsondunn.com
 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 555 Mission Street
 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Telephone: 415.393.8200
 Facsimile: 415.393.8306
 Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.
 [Additional counsel on signature page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 OAKLAND DIVISION

EPIC GAMES, INC.,
 Plaintiff, Counter-defendant

v.

APPLE INC.,
 Defendant, Counterclaimant

IN RE APPLE IPHONE ANTITRUST
 LITIGATION

DONALD R. CAMERON, *et al.*,
 Plaintiffs

v.

APPLE INC.,
 Defendant.

Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH
 Case No. 4:11-cv-06714-YGR-TSH
 Case No. 4:19-cv-03074-YGR-TSH

**STIPULATED [PROPOSED]
 SUPPLEMENTAL PROTECTIVE ORDER
 GOVERNING DISCOVERY FROM
 GOOGLE**

Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
 Hon. Thomas S. Hixson

1 WHEREAS the parties to *In re Apple iPhone Antitrust Litigation* and *Cameron v. Apple Inc.*
 2 agreed to a Stipulated Protective Order on January 6, 2020 (Case No. 4:11-cv-06714-YGR, Dkt. No.
 3 195; Case No. 4:19-cv-03074-YGR, Dkt. No. 81);

4 WHEREAS the Court entered the Stipulated Protective Order on January 9, 2020 (Case No.
 5 4:11-cv-06714-YGR, Dkt. No. 199; Case No. 4:19-cv-03074-YGR, Dkt. No. 85) (the “Protective
 6 Order”);

7 WHEREAS, parties to *Epic Games, Inc. v. Apple Inc.* agreed that the terms of the Stipulated
 8 Protective Order in *Cameron v. Apple Inc.* and *In re Apple iPhone Antitrust Litigation* should also
 9 apply in *Epic Games, Inc. v. Apple Inc.* (Case No. 4:20-cv-05640, Dkt. No. 110) (collectively, the
 10 “Litigations”), and the Court entered a stipulated protective order in *Epic Games, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*
 11 on October 2, 2020 with identical terms (Case No. 4:20-cv-05640, Dkt. No. 112);

12 WHEREAS Paragraph 10(a) of the Protective Order states that “[t]he terms of this Order are
 13 applicable to information produced by a Non-Party in this action” and that “[n]othing in these
 14 provisions should be construed as prohibiting a Non-Party from seeking additional protections”;

15 WHEREAS Parties to the Litigations have served subpoenas on Alphabet Inc. (“Google”)¹;

16 WHEREAS Google is willing to produce competitively sensitive information in response to
 17 subpoenas served on it in these Litigations, subject to certain additional protections beyond those set
 18 forth in the Protective Order and that the Parties to the Litigations agree to;

19 WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that documents produced by Google in
 20 connection with the Litigations shall be further subject to the following provisions (the
 21 “Supplemental Protective Order”):

22 **A. GENERAL PROVISIONS**

23 1. The definitions, terms and provisions contained in the Protective Order shall be
 24 incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein; provided, however, that in the event
 25 of a conflict between any definition, term or provision of this Supplemental Protective Order and any
 26 _____

27 ¹ The term “Google” shall include any entity that responds to subpoenas served on Alphabet Inc. in
 28 the Litigations. References to “competitors” within this Supplemental Protective Order shall be
 interpreted to mean competitors of Alphabet Inc. and/or Google LLC, and their subsidiaries.

1 definition, term or provision of the Protective Order, this Supplemental Protective Order will control
2 with respect to such conflict.

3 2. The definitions, terms and provisions contained in this Supplemental Protective Order
4 shall apply only to those Discovery Materials produced by Google, and nothing herein shall provide
5 any rights or protections to the Parties to the Litigations beyond those set forth in the Protective
6 Order.

7 **B. ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS**

8 1. Business Consultant: a consultant advising on or involved in competitive decision-
9 making.

10 2. Party Expert: with respect to “GOOGLE HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE
11 COUNSEL EYES ONLY”, a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent
12 to the Litigations who: (1) has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as an expert witness or
13 as a consultant in this action; (2) is not a current employee or current Business Consultant of a Party,
14 Google, or of any Google competitor, or otherwise currently involved in competitive decision-
15 making for a Party, Google, or for any Google competitor; (3) has not, within the 12 months
16 preceding the entry of this Protective Order, been an employee or Business Consultant of a Party,
17 Google, or Google’s competitor, or otherwise been involved in competitive decision-making for a
18 Party, Google, or Google’s competitor; and (4) at the time of retention, is not anticipated to become
19 an employee or Business Consultant of a Party, Google, or of any Google competitor, or to be
20 otherwise involved in competitive decision-making for a Party or for any Google competitor. If,
21 while this action is pending, a Party learns that any of its retained experts or consultants as defined
22 herein is anticipating to become, or has become, an employee or Business Consultant of Google or
23 any Google competitor, or otherwise involved in competitive decision-making for Google or any
24 Google competitor, the Party learning such information shall promptly disclose the information to
25 Google.

26 3. “GOOGLE HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY”
27 Information or Items: extremely sensitive “Confidential Information or Items” produced by Google
28

and that contain algorithms and source code; non-public, commercially sensitive customer lists; non-public financial, marketing, or strategic business planning information; current or future non-public information regarding prices, costs, or margins; information relating to research, development, testing of, or plans for existing or proposed future products; evaluation of the strengths and vulnerabilities of Google's product offerings, including non-public pricing and cost information; confidential contractual terms, proposed contractual terms, or negotiating positions (including internal deliberations about negotiating positions) taken with respect to Google or competitors to Google; information relating to pending or abandoned patent applications that have not been made available to the public; personnel files; sensitive personally identifiable information; and communications that disclose any such information.

C. ADDITIONAL PROTECTIONS FOR ACCESS TO AND USE OF GOOGLE PROTECTED MATERIALS

1. Manner of Designating "GOOGLE HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" Information or Items. To qualify for protection as "GOOGLE HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY", Google must affix the legend "GOOGLE HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" to each page of any document for which Google seeks protection under this Supplemental Protective Order.

2. Disclosure of "GOOGLE HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court or permitted in writing by Google, a Party may disclose any information or item designated "GOOGLE HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" only to:

(a) the Party's Outside Counsel of Record in this action, as well as employees of said Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for these Litigations and who have signed the "Acknowledgement and Agreement to be Bound" that is attached to the Protective Order as Exhibit A;

(b) Party Experts (as defined in this Supplemental Protective Order) (1) to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for these Litigations and (2) who have signed the “Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound” (Exhibit A);

(c) the Court and its personnel;

(d) court reporters and their staff, professional jury or trial consultants, and Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for these Litigations and who have signed the “Acknowledgment and Agreement to be Bound” (Exhibit A); and

(e) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information.

3. All other provisions of the Protective Order, including Paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 5.2, 6, 7.1, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 apply mutatis mutandis to information designated “GOOGLE HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY” to the same extent as they apply to information designated as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY”.

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

Dated: April 9, 2021

By: /s/ Jay P. Srinivasan

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr.

Richard J. Doren

Daniel G. Swanson

Mark A. Perry

Veronica S. Moyé

Cynthia E. Richman

Jay P. Srinivasan

Jason C. Lo

Ethan D. Dettmer

Eli M. Lazarus

Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.

Dated: April 9, 2021

By: /s/ Rachele R. Byrd

WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER

FREEMAN & HERZ LLP

RACHELE R. BYRD (190634)

BRITTANY N. DEJONG (258766)

750 B Street, Suite 1820

San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 619/239-4599
Facsimile: 619/234-4599

**WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER
FREEMAN & HERZ LLP**

MARK C. RIFKIN (*pro hac vice*)
MATTHEW M. GUINEY (*pro hac vice*)
270 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10016
Telephone: 212/545-4600
Facsimile: 212/545-4677

Consumer Plaintiffs' Interim Class Counsel

Dated: April 9, 2021

By: /s/ Steve W. Berman

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

Steve W. Berman (*pro hac vice*)
Robert F. Lopez (*pro hac vice*)
1301 Second Ave., Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 623-7292
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
steve@hbsslaw.com
robl@hbsslaw.com

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

Shana E. Scarlett (SBN 217895)
715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202
Berkeley, CA 94710
Telephone: (510) 725-3000
Facsimile: (510) 725-3001
shanas@hbsslaw.com

Developer Plaintiffs' Interim Class Counsel

Dated: April 9, 2021

By: /s/ Yonatan Even

Yonatan Even

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

Christine Varney (*pro hac vice*)

Katherine B. Forrest (*pro hac vice*)

Gary A. Bornstein (*pro hac vice*)

Yonatan Even (*pro hac vice*)

Lauren A. Moskowitz (*pro hac vice*)

M. Brent Byars (*pro hac vice*)

825 Eighth Avenue

New York, New York 10019

Telephone: (212) 474-1000

Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

cvarney@cravath.com

kforrest@cravath.com

gbornstein@cravath.com

yeven@cravath.com

lmoskowitz@cravath.com

mbyars@cravath.com

Respectfully submitted,

Attorneys for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

HON. YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS

United States District Judge

ECF SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

In accordance with Local Rule 5-1, the filer of this document hereby attests that the concurrence of the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories hereto.

Dated: April 9, 2021

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

By: /s/ Jay P. Srinivasan

Jay P. Srinivasan

Attorney for Defendant Apple Inc.